Profiling and Analysis Tools

Advanced Parallel Programming





WHAT'S THE PROBLEM?

Why do we need tools?





Reminder

Techniques for finding performance problems in a large code:

- Manual investigation, looking at the code and machine
- Benchmarking, running and timing the code on a machine
- Profiling tools, sampling and tracing the code on a machine
- Analysis tools, auto-magic wizardry





Simple machine schematic



• https://computing.llnl.gov/tutorials/ibm_sp/



N1V

- Used by processing and I/O units to connect to fabric
- Consume & generate IB packets
- Programmable DMA engines with protection features
- May have multiple ports
 - Independent buffering channeled through Virtual Lanes
- Host Channel Adapters (HCAs)

https://image.slidesharecdn.com/ccgrid11ibhselast-160218070646/95/designing-cloudand-grid-computing-systems-with-infiniband-and-highspeed-ethernet-39-638.jpg







Intel E2607 v3 schematic



http://www.anandtech.com/show/8584/intel-xeon-e5-2687w-v3-and-e5-2650-v3-reviewhaswell-ep-with-10-cores



Node hardware



https://www.open-mpi.org/projects/hwloc/



Network tolopogy





Fat tree topology

https://slurm.schedmd.com/topology.html



Some useful links

- Information about ARCHER hardware layout:
 - http://www.archer.ac.uk/about-archer/hardware/
- Intel 'ark' information for an example processor:
 - <u>http://ark.intel.com/products/75283/Intel-Xeon-Processor-E5-2697-v2-</u> <u>30M-Cache-2_70-GHz</u>
- Information about Cirrus hardware:
 - http://cirrus.readthedocs.io/en/latest/hardware.html
 - https://www.sgi.com/products/servers/ice/ice_xa.html



WHY DOES THIS MATTER?

OK, hardware is complicated – so what?





Task mapping

- On most systems, the time taken to send a message between two processors depends on their location on the interconnect.
- Latency depends on number of hops between processors
- Bandwidth might vary between different pairs of processors
- In an SMP cluster, communication is normally faster (lower latency and higher bandwidth) inside a node (using shared memory) than between nodes (using the network)





 Communication latency often behaves as a fixed cost + term proportional to number of hops.



a. 2D grid or mesh of 16 nodes



b. 2D torus of 16 nodes



c. Hypercube tree of 16 nodes (16 = 2⁴ so n = 4)





- The mapping of MPI tasks to processors can have an effect on performance
- Want to have tasks which communicate with each other a lot close together in the interconnect.
- No portable mechanism for arranging the mapping.
 e.g. on Cray XE/XC supply options to aprun
- Can be done (semi-)automatically:
 - run the code and measure how much communication is done between all pairs of tasks
 - tools can help here
 - find a near optimal mapping to minimise communication costs





- On systems with no ability to change the mapping, we can achieve the same effect by create communicators appropriately.
 - assuming we know how MPI_COMM_WORLD is mapped
- MPI_CART_CREATE has a reorder argument
 - if set to true, allows the implementation to reorder the task to give a sensible mapping for nearest-neighbour communication
 - unfortunately many implementations do nothing, or do strange, nonoptimal re-orderings!
- ... or use MPI_COMM_SPLIT



Custom cluster – no tools

- Basic requirement to 'pin' processes/threads
 - Set a "CPU mask" or similar operating system function call
 - Restrict each application thread to a single physical core
- Always possible to schedule one process/thread per core
 - Ensure different runtimes play well together (current research topic)
 - Use as many (or as few) processes as you want
 - Get machine topology by measuring communication performance
 - Chose which processes to use, e.g. based on physical location
- Analysis is mostly guesswork with trial and error
 - Create a small (short time to completion) representative test-case
 - Try to be systematic and cover the available parameter space
 - Keep good records of your tests and the results
- OR install and use tools



WHAT TOOLS ARE THERE?

What can tools do?





Uses for debugging tools

- Where did my program crash?
 - Obtain a stack trace at the point of failure
 - Examine 'core' file using gdb (or similar)
 - Use a debugger tool, e.g. Allinea DDT, many others
- Where are the memory leaks in my program?
 - Use 'valgrind'
- Why does my program get the wrong answer?
 - Use 'printf'/'write' statements to verify variable values
 - Use an interactive debug tool to step through code, e.g. DDT/others





Uses for performance tools

- Change process placement to optimise communication
 - Discover and map hardware topology, e.g. hwloc
 - Specify rank mapping, e.g. 'aprun' settings or MPI communicators
- Discover 'hot-spots' code that takes up most runtime
 - Identify areas most in need of (greatest impact from) optimisation
 - Profiling tools, trace first, then selectively instrument
 - CrayPAT, Allinea MAP, Scalasca, Intel vTune, TAU, many others
- Discover sub-optimal use of CPU/memory components
 - Access hardware counters, e.g. Performance API (PAPI)
 - Re-order calculation/communication, i.e. algorithm code changes
- Discover sub-optimal communication patterns
 - Infer the problem from other performance evidence, plus intuition
 - Alter calculation/communication, i.e. algorithm code changes



What tools are available?

- Tools on ARCHER:
 - http://www.archer.ac.uk/about-archer/software/
 - "Debugging Tools DDT, Cray ATP, GDB"
 - "Profiling Tools CrayPAT"
- Tools on Cirrus:
 - Intel vTune (discovered by doing "module avail")
- A survey of tools on another machine (Aurora):
 - <u>http://www.paradyn.org/petascale2015/slides/2015_0804_scalableTools</u>
 <u>rashawn_knapp_presentation_final.pdf</u>





Current Sample of Tools and Status Overview On Haswell

	Tool/Versions	Description	Status
Low-level tool Foundation	Dyninst 8.2.1	dynamic binary instrumentation tool	GNU and Intel compilations, Test suite completed, Minor change to CMake configuration
	PAPI 5.4.1	interface to sample CPU and off-core performance events	GNU and Intel compilations, Test suite completed, Patch accepted for off-core events
High-level Tools	TAU 2.24.1	profiling and tracing tool for parallel applications, supporting both MPI and OpenMP	Intel Compilation with Intel MPI and Intel C/C++/Fortran compilers, many suite examples tested
	Score-P 1.3	Provides a common interface for high-level tools	2015/16
	Open Speedshop 2.1	Dynamic Instrumentation tool for Linux: profiling, event tracing for MPI and OpenMP programs. Incorporates Dyninst and PAPI	2015/16
	HPCToolKit 5.3.x r4793	Lightweight sampling measurement tool for HPC; supports PAPI	GNU and Intel compilations with Intel MPI, tests with PAPI and Intel MPI
	Darshan 5.3.2-r4532	IO monitoring tool	2015/16
Low-level Independent	Valgrind 3.10.1	framework for constructing dynamic analysis tools; includes suite of tools including a debugger, and error detection for memory and pthreads.	2015/16
	memcheck	Detects memory errors: stack, heap, memory leaks, and MPI distributed memory. For C and C++.	2015/16
	helgrind	Pthreads error detection: synchronization, incorrect use of pthreads API, potential deadlocks, data races. C, C++, Fortran	2015/16



X

Summary

- Tools can do *anything* the tool developer can dream up
- There are some well-known tools and many less well-known
- But no standard set of tools that will be available everywhere
- Find out what tools are available on systems you can access
- Read the documentation for each system
- Investigate on the machine itself, e.g. 'module avail'
- Use tools that are already installed, e.g. by sys admin team
- OR download and install additional tools yourself

